arXiv:1512.01415v1 [math.AP] 4 Dec 2015

Gevrey analyticity of solutions to the 3D nematic liquid crystal flows in critical Besov space *

Qiao Liu[1,2] †

[1] *Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, China*

[2] *Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, Beijing, 100088, China*

Abstract

We show that the solution to the Cauchy problem of the 3D nematic liquid crystal flows, with initial data belongs to a critical Besov space, belongs to a Gevrey class. More precisely, it is proved that for any $(u_0, d_0 - \overline{d}_0) \in \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with some suitable conditions imposed on $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, there exists $T^* > 0$ depending only on initial data, such that the nematic liquid crystal flows admits a unique solution (u, d) on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T^*)$, and satisfies

$$\|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1} u(t)\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}) \cap \widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})} + \|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}(d(t) - \overline{d}_0)\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}) \cap \widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})} < \infty.$$

Here, $\overline{d}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is a constant unit vector, and Λ_1 is the Fourier multiplier whose symbol is given by $|\xi|_1 = |\xi_1| + |\xi_2| + |\xi_3|$. Moreover, if the initial data is sufficiently small enough, then $T^* = \infty$. As a consequence of the method, decay estimates of higher-order derivatives of solutions in Besov spaces are deduced.

Keywords: Nematic liquid crystal flows; energy argument; Besov space; Gevrey analyticity

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 76A15, 35B65, 35Q35

1 Introduction

Liquid crystal, which is a state of matter capable of flow, but its molecules may be oriented in a crystal-like way. Research into liquid crystals is an area of a very successful synergy between mathematics and physics (see [31]). To our knowledge, three main phases of liquid crystals are distinguished, nematic, termed smectic and cholesteric. The nematic phase appears to be the most common one, where the molecules do not exhibit any positional order, but they have long-range orientational order. The Ericksen-Leslie system is one of the most successful models for the nematic liquid crystals. It was formulated by Ericksen and Leslie in 1960s (see [7, 19]), who derived suitable constitutive equations. Since then, many remarkable developments have been made from both theoretical and applied aspects.

In the present paper, we investigate the Cauchy problem of the following three dimensional simplified version of the Ericksen-Leslie system in the whole space, which describes the motion of the incompressible

*This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11401202), the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (14B117), and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2015M570053).

†Corresponding author. E-mail address: liuqao2005@163.com.

flow of nematic liquid crystals:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + (u\cdot\nabla)u + \nabla P = -\nabla\cdot(\nabla d\odot\nabla d), & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,+\infty),\\ \partial_t d + (u\cdot\nabla)d = \Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d, & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,+\infty),\\ \quad \nabla\cdot u = 0, & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,+\infty),\\ (u,d)|_{t=0} = (u_0,d_0), & x\in\mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $u(x,t):\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,+\infty)\to\mathbb{R}^3$ is the unknown velocity field of the flow, $P(x,t):\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,+\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ is the scalar pressure, $d(x,t):\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,+\infty)\to\mathbb{S}^2$, the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^3$, is the unknown (averaged) macroscopic/continuum molecule orientation of the nematic liquid crystal flow, $\nabla\cdot u=0$ represents the incompressible condition, u_0 is a given initial velocity with $\nabla\cdot u_0=0$ in distribution sense, and $d_0:\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{S}^2$ is a given initial liquid crystal orientation field and satisfies $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} d_0(x)=\overline{d}_0$ with the constant unit vector $\overline{d}_0\in\mathbb{S}^2$. The notation $\nabla d\odot\nabla d$ denotes the 3×3 matrix whose (i,j)-th entry is given by $\partial_i d\cdot\partial_j d$ $(1\le i,j\le3)$, and there holds $\nabla\cdot(\nabla d\odot\nabla d)=\Delta d\cdot\nabla d+\frac{1}{2}\nabla|\nabla d|^2$. Throughout the paper, due to the concrete values of the viscosity constants do not play a special role in our discussion, we assume that they are all equal to one for simplicity.

The above simplified Ericksen–Leslie model (1.1) was first introduced by Lin [22]. When $d\equiv\overline{d}_0$, it corresponds to the following well-known Navier–Stokes equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + (u\cdot\nabla)u + \nabla P = -0, & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,+\infty),\\ \quad \nabla\cdot u = 0, & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,+\infty),\\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & x\in\mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

This equations has drawn much attention of researchers, and has been extensively studied in the past several decades. Notice that (1.2) is scaling invariant in the following sense: if (u,P) solves (1.2), so does $(u_\lambda,P_\lambda):=(\lambda u(\lambda x,\lambda^2 t),\lambda^2 P(\lambda x,\lambda^2 t))$ with initial data $u_{0\lambda}:=\lambda u_0(\lambda x)$. We say a function space X defined in $\mathbb{R}^3$, which is said to be the initial critical space for (1.2), if the associated norm is invariant under the transformation $u_0\to u_{0\lambda}$ (up to a positive constant independent of λ). Under these scalings, it is easy to see that $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and BMO^{-1} are initial critical spaces for (1.2), and one can find various well-posedness results for the initial data belongs to these initial critical spaces in [5, 17, 18, 20] and the references therein. For the issue of regularity of solutions, Foias-Temam [8] developed an energy method, which involves certain pseudo-differential operators, to prove that the solutions of (1.2), starting with initial data in the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, become instantaneously elements of a certain Gevrey class of regularity, which, in particular, makes them real analytic functions. Using iterative derivative estimates, the analyticity of solutions to (1.2) for small initial data in $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ was obtained by Giga-Sawada [10], and in BMO^{-1} was obtained by Germain-Pavlovic-Staffilani [9] (see also Miura and Sawada [30] on the iterative derivative techniques). Very recently, base on the method introduced by Foias-Temam [8], Bae-Biswas-Tadmor [1] and Huang-Wang [15] established analyticity of solutions to (1.2) through the Gevery estimate in Besov space. More precisely, they proved that for initial data $u_0\in\dot{B}^{-1+\frac{3}{p}}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $1<p<\infty$, $1\le q\le\infty$, there exists an unique solution $u(t)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,T)$ such that

$$\|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}u\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(\dot{B}^{-1+\frac{3}{p}}_{p,q})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(\dot{B}^{1+\frac{3}{p}}_{p,q})}\le C\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{-1+\frac{3}{p}}_{p,q}},$$

where Λ_1 is the Fourier multiplier whose symbol is given by $|\xi|_1=|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|+|\xi_3|$.

For system (1.1), due to the appearance of the nonlinear term $|\nabla d|^2 d$ with the restriction $|d|=1$ causes significant mathematical difficulties, Lin-Liu [24, 25] have initiated the mathematical analysis of (1.1) by considering its Ginzburg–Landau approximation. Namely, the Dirichlet energy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{2}|\nabla d|^2\mathrm{d}x$ for $d:\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{S}^2$

is replaced by the Ginzburg-Landau energy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla d|^2+\frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2}(1-|d|^2)^2)\mathrm{d}x$ $(\varepsilon>0)$ for $d:\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{R}^3$, that is, equation $(1.1)_2$ is replaced by

$$d_t+u\cdot\nabla d=\Delta d+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(1-|d|^2)d.$$

In this situation, Lin-Liu in [24] proved the local existence of classical solutions and the global existence of weak solutions in dimensions two and three with Dirichlet boundary conditions, and in [25] established the existence of suitable weak solutions and their partial regularity in dimensions three, analogous to the celebrated regularity results by Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg [4] for the three dimensional Navier–Stokes equations. For more studies about the Ginzburg–Landau approximation system, we refer the readers to [14, 21] and the references therein.

In the past a few years, progress has also been made on the analysis of system (1.1). Lin-Lin-Wang [23] established that there exists global Leray–Hopf type weak solutions to the initial boundary value problem for system (1.1) on bounded domains in two space dimensions (see also [13]). The uniqueness of such weak solutions is proved by Lin-Wang [26], see also Xu-Zhang [34] for related works. Very recently, when the space dimension is three, Lin-Wang [27] established the existence of global weak solutions when the initial data $(u_0,d_0)\in L^2\times H^1$ with the initial director field d_0 maps to the upper hemisphere $\mathbb{S}^2_+$, yet the global existence of weak solutions to system (1.1) with general initial data in dimensions three is still not resolved. As for an issue of the existence of strong solutions, Wen and Ding [33] obtained local existence and uniqueness of strong solution, Hineman and Wang [12] established the global well–posedness of system (1.1) in dimensions three with small initial data (u_0,d_0) in L^3_{uloc}, where L^3_{uloc} is the space of uniformly locally L^3-integrable functions in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Wang [32] proved the global-in-time existence of strong solutions for the incompressible liquid crystal model in the whole space provided that the initial data (u_0,d_0)

$$\|u_0\|_{BMO^{-1}}+[d_0]_{BMO}<\varepsilon,$$

for some suitable small positive ε. For the issue of regularity of solutions, Du and Wang [6], and Liu [28] proved that the small global strong solution obtained by [32] is arbitrary space-time regularity and is algebraically decay as time goes to infinity. On the other hand, in order to understand which quantity goes to infinite as the time approaches to infinity, various blow-up criteria have been established in [16, 23] and the references therein.

Similar as the Navier–Stokes equations, system (1.1) is invariant under the following transformation

$$(u_\lambda(x,t),P_\lambda(x,t),d_\lambda(x,t)):=(\lambda u(\lambda x,\lambda^2 t),\lambda^2 P(\lambda x,\lambda^2 t),d(\lambda x,\lambda^2 t)).$$

We say a functional space is the initial critical space for system (1.1) if the associated norm is invariant under the transformation $(u_0,d_0)\to(u_{0\lambda},d_{0\lambda}):=(\lambda u_0(\lambda x),d_0(\lambda x))$ is invariant for all $\lambda>0$. In fact, the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)\times\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $1<p,q<\infty$ is the initial critical space for system (1.1). In this paper, motivated by the papers [1,3,8,15,20], we focus on regularity of solutions to the nematic liquid crystal flows (1.1). In particular, we establish that the solutions to system (1.1), with initial data $(u_0,d_0-\overline{d}_0)$ belongs to the initial critical Besov spaces $\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)\times\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with some suitable conditions imposed on $p,q\in(1,\infty)$, immediately becomes Gevrey regular on the time existence interval. In order to state our main result, let us first define the Gevrey regular on L^p-based Besov spaces.

Definition 1.1 *(See [1, 3]) We say that a function f is Gevrey regular if*

$$\|e^{\gamma\Lambda}f\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,q}}<\infty,$$

for some $s\in\mathbb{R},\gamma>0$, and $1\le p,q\le\infty$. Here, the Gevrey operator $e^{\gamma\Lambda}$ is the Fourier multiplier operator whose symbol is given by $e^{\gamma|\xi|}$, where $|\xi|=\sqrt{|\xi_1|^2+|\xi_2|^2+|\xi_3|^2}$.

Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 1.2 *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ such that*

$$-\inf\{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2p}\} \leq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{3}. \tag{1.3}$$

Let $\overline{d}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^2$ be a constant vector, $u_0 \in \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$, $d_0 - \overline{d}_0 \in \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and

$$M_0 := \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}} + \|d_0 - \overline{d}_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}}.$$

Then there exists a $T^* = T^*(M_0) > 0$ such that system (1.1) has a unique solution (u, d) on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T^*)$, and

$$(u, d-\overline{d}_0) \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(0,T^*; e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap \widetilde{L}^1(0,T^*; e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \times \widetilde{L}^\infty(0,T^*; e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap \widetilde{L}^1(0,T^*; e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

If $T^* < \infty$, then we have for some $\theta \in (0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})} + \|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{1-\theta}{1+\theta}}_{p,1}) \cap \widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{\theta-1}{1+\theta}}_{p,1})} \\ &+ \|d-\overline{d}_0\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})} + \|d-\overline{d}_0\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2}{1+\theta}}_{q,1}) \cap \widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2\theta}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})} = \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Moreover, if M_0 is sufficiently small, then we have $T^* = \infty$.

Remark 1.3 *1. In Definition 1.1, $\dot{B}^s_{p,q}$ denotes the homogeneous L^p-based Besov spaces with regularity index s and summability index q (see Section 2 below), we notice that when $p = q = 2$, one recovers the usual definition of Gevrey classes (cf. [8, 20]) in the space-periodic setting.*

2. We say $f \in \widetilde{L}^\rho(0,T; e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq \rho, r \leq \infty$, if and only if $e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1} f \in \widetilde{L}^\rho(0,T; \dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Moreover, it holds that $\|f\|_{\widetilde{L}^\rho_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^s_{p,r})} = \|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1} f\|_{\widetilde{L}^\rho_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})}$.

3. We emphasize that the operator $e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}$ used in Theorem 1.2 is quantified by the operator Λ_1, whose symbol is given by the ℓ^1-norm $|\xi|_1 = |\xi_1| + |\xi_2| + |\xi_3|$, rather than the usual operator $\Lambda = \sqrt{-\Delta}$, whose symbol is given by the ℓ^2-norm $|\xi| = \sqrt{|\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2 + |\xi_3|^2}$, as in Definition 1.1. This approach enables us to avoid cumbersome recursive estimation of higher order derivatives and intricate combinatorial arguments to get the desired decay estimates of solutions, see [1, 3, 15, 20]. We also emphasize that the operators Λ_1 and Λ are equivalent as a Fourier multiplier.

4. If we remove the exponential operator $e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}$, the result of Theorem 1.2 is essentially obtained in Liu-Zhang-Zhao [29] (see also Hao-Liu [11]). However, $e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}$ is of importance for nonlinear estimates in the space $\widetilde{L}^\rho(0,T; e^{\sqrt{T}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^s_{p,q})$, see Lemarié-Rieusset [20]. In fact, one of the main points in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is how the product in the nonlinear terms of (1.1) is estimated in $\widetilde{L}^1(0,T^*; e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{-1+\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ or $\widetilde{L}^1(0,T^*; e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, although the Plancherel Theorem used in Foias-Temam [8] is no longer available, we can resort to the approach taken in [20] and [1] to find out the nice boundedness property of the following bilinear operator (cf. (3.12) below)

$$B_t(u,v) = e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}ue^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\cdot\xi}e^{\sqrt{t}(|\xi|_1 - |\xi-\eta|_1 - |\eta|_1)}\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{v}(\eta)\,d\eta\,d\xi.$$

Based on the desired property of $B_t(u,v)$, the estimates of the nonlinear terms can be done via the Fourier localization approach (see Lemmas 3.3, 3.5 below).

Notice that an important property of Gevrey regular functions is that estimates on higher-order derivatives follow immediately, using this fact, it follows from Theorem 1.2 and Stirling's approximation that the solution of system (1.1) with initial data $(u_0, d_0 - \overline{d}_0)$ belonging to $\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ automatically satisfies certain higher-order temporal decay estimates.

Corollary 1.4 *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ satisfy (1.3), $\overline{d}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^2$ be a constant vector, $u_0 \in \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$, $d_0 - \overline{d}_0 \in \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $M_0 := \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}} + \|d_0 - \overline{d}_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}}$. Let (u, d) be the solution obtained in Theorem 1.2. Then we have for all $m \geq 0$ and $0 < t < T^*$*

$$\|\Lambda^m u(t)\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}) \cap \widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})} + \|\Lambda^m (d(t) - \overline{d}_0)\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}) \cap \widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})} \leq C t^{-\frac{m}{2}} M_0,$$

where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant.

The organization of the paper. In Section 2, we collect some preliminary materials, including the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, the definition of Besov spaces and some useful properties. Section 3 is devoted to proving Theorem 1.2. In the last Section, we shall give the proof of Corollary 1.4.

Let us complete this section by describing the notation we shall use in this paper:

Notation. We denote by C a generic positive constant, which may vary at different places. The notation $A \lesssim B$, we mean that there is an uniform constant C, which may be different on each lines, such that $A \leq CB$. Let X be a Banach space. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the notation $L^p_T(X)$ (or $L^p(0, T; X)$) stands for the set of measurable functions on $(0, T)$ with values in X, such that $t \to \|f(t)\|_X$ belongs to $L^p(0, T)$. For $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we denote $|x|_p = (|x_1|^p + |x_2|^p + |x_3|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $|x| = |x|_2$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we shall give the definition of the Besov spaces and some useful lemmas. In order to define Besov spaces, we first introduce the Littlewood–Paley decomposition theory. Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the Schwartz class of rapidly decreasing functions, given $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f = \widehat{f}$ and its inverse Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f = \check{f}$ are, respectively, defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} f(x) \mathrm{d}x \text{ and } \check{f}(x) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\cdot\xi} f(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi.$$

Let $\chi, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be two nonnegative radial functions supported in $\mathfrak{B} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\}$ and $\mathfrak{C} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$ respectively, such that

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1 \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \{0\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(\xi) + \sum_{j\geq 0} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1 \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the homogeneous Littlewood–Paley projection operators S_j and Δ_j are, respectively, defined as

$$S_j f = \chi(2^{-j}D) f = 2^{3j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widetilde{h}(2^j y) f(x - y) \mathrm{d}y, \text{ where } \widetilde{h} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi,$$

and

$$\Delta_j f = \varphi(2^{-j}D) f = 2^{3j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(2^{-j} y) f(x - y) \mathrm{d}y, \text{ where } h = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi.$$

Informally, Δ_j is a frequency projection to the annulus $\{|\xi| \sim 2^j\}$, while S_j is a frequency projection to the ball $\{|\xi| \lesssim 2^j\}$. One can easily verify that $\Delta_j \Delta_k f = 0$ if $|j - k| \geq 2$, and $\Delta_j(S_{k-1} f \Delta_k f) = 0$ if $|j - k| \geq 5$. Let

$$\mathcal{S}_h := \{\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3), \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi(x) x^\gamma \mathrm{d}x = 0, |\gamma| = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}.$$

Then its dual is given by

$$\mathcal{S}'_h = \mathcal{S}' / \mathcal{S}_h^\perp = \mathcal{S}' / \mathcal{P},$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the space of polynomial.

We now recall the definitions of the stationary/time-dependent homogeneous Besov spaces from [2, 20].

Definition 2.1 *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \le p, r \le \infty$, we set*

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,r}} \triangleq \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsr}\|\Delta_j u\|^r_{L^p}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} & \text{for } 1 \le r < \infty, \\ \sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{js}\|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p} & \text{for } r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

. *For $s < \frac{3}{p}$ (or $s = \frac{3}{p}$ if $r = 1$), we define $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3) \triangleq \{u \in \mathcal{S}'_h(\mathbb{R}^3) \mid \|u\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,r}} < \infty\}$.*

. *If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\frac{3}{p} + k \le s < \frac{3}{p} + k + 1$ (or $s = \frac{3}{p} + k + 1$ if $r = 1$), then $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is defined as the subset of distributions $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\partial^\beta u \in \dot{B}^{s-k}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ whenever $|\beta| = k$.*

Definition 2.2 *Let $0 < T \le \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \le \rho, p, r \le \infty$. We define the mixed time space $\widetilde{L}^\rho(0,T;\dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ as the completion of $\mathcal{C}([0,T],\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ by the norm*

$$\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^\rho_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})} \triangleq \left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsr}\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta_j u(\cdot,t)\|^\rho_{L^p}\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty$$

with the usual change if $\rho = \infty$ or $r = \infty$. For simplicity, we will of some use $\widetilde{L}^\rho_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ instead of $\widetilde{L}^\rho(0,T;\dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ later on.

Let us recall the following basic facts on the Littlewood-Paley theory from [2, 20], which will be used in the subsequent sections.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a ball and $\mathcal{C}$ a ring of $\mathbb{R}^3$. A constant C exists so that for any positive real number Λ_1, any nonnegative integer k and any couple of real numbers (p,q) with $q \ge p \ge 1$, there hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{supp}\widehat{u} \subset \Lambda_1\mathcal{B} \quad &\Rightarrow \quad \sup_{|\alpha|=k}\|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^q} \le C^{k+1}\Lambda_1^{k+3(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}\|u\|_{L^p}, \\ \operatorname{supp}\widehat{u} \subset \Lambda_1\mathcal{C} \quad &\Rightarrow \quad C^{-1-k}\Lambda_1^k\|u\|_{L^p} \le \sup_{|\alpha|=k}\|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^p} \le C^{k+1}\Lambda_1^k\|u\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

We also notice that functions in the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ have the following properties (see [2, 20]).

Lemma 2.4 *For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \le p, r \le \infty$, then there hold*
(1). The set $C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is dense in $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ if $|s| \le \frac{3}{p}$, $1 \le p, r < \infty$;
(2). For $1 \le p_1 \le p_2 \le \infty$ and $1 \le r_1 \le r_2 \le \infty$, we have the continuous imbedding $\dot{B}^s_{p_1,r_1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^{s-3(\frac{1}{p_1}-\frac{1}{p_2})}_{p_2,r_2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$;
(3). $\|u\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,r}} \approx \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{p,r}}$;
(4). Let $\Lambda = \sqrt{-\Delta}$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, then the operator Λ^σ_1 is an isomorphism from $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $\dot{B}^{s-\sigma}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$;
(5). For $s > 0$, $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is an algebra. Moreover, $\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is an algebra since there holds $\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$;
(6). For $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $s_1 < s_2$ and $\theta \in (0,1)$, we have the following interpolation inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1\theta+s_2(1-\theta)}_{p,r}} &\le C\|u\|^\theta_{\dot{B}^{s_1}_{p,r}}\|u\|^{1-\theta}_{\dot{B}^{s_2}_{p,r}}, \\ \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1\theta+s_2(1-\theta)}_{p,1}} &\le \frac{C}{s_2-s_1}\left(\frac{1}{\theta}+\frac{1}{1-\theta}\right)\|u\|^\theta_{\dot{B}^{s_1}_{p,\infty}}\|u\|^{1-\theta}_{\dot{B}^{s_2}_{p,\infty}}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant.

Let us complete this section by describing the Bony's paraproduct decomposition. Let u and v be two temperate distributions, the paraproduct between u and v is defined by

$$T_u v \triangleq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} S_{j-1}u\Delta_j v.$$

The remainder of the paraproduct $R(u,v)$ is defined by

$$R(u,v) \triangleq \sum_{|j-j'|\le 1} \Delta_j u \Delta_{j'} v = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j u \widetilde{\Delta}_j v, \quad \widetilde{\Delta}_j v \triangleq \sum_{|j'-j|\le 1} \Delta_j v.$$

Then, we have the following Bony's decomposition:

$$uv = T_u v + T_v u + R(u,v). \tag{2.1}$$

3 The proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we shall give the proof of Theorem 1.2. In order to do it, followed by some ideas as in [1,15,20], let us first establish the following two lemmas for the basic heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0,\infty), \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

From the two Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 below, we notice that if we remove the operator $e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}$, the results were essentially obtained in [2] (see also [11]). However, $e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}$ is of importance for the nonlinear estimates, which are needed for the proof of our main result.

Now, by the Duhamel principle, we can express a solution u of (3.1) in the integral form:

$$u(t) = e^{t\Delta}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} f(s)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 3.1 *For $u_0 \in \dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $s\in\mathbb{R}$, $1<p<\infty$ and $1\le r\le\infty$, we have*
(1). Assume that $\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,r}} \le C_0$, $1\le\rho<\infty$. For any small $\varepsilon_0>0$, there exists $T_0>0$, such that the following estimate holds

$$\|e^{t\Delta+\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}u_0\|_{\widetilde{L}^\rho_T(\dot{B}^{s+\frac{2}{\rho}}_{p,r})} \le \varepsilon_0 \text{ for any } 0<T\le T_0. \tag{3.3}$$

(2). Assume that $1\le\rho\le\infty$. For any small $\varepsilon_0>0$, there exists η_0, such that if $\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,r}} \le \eta_0$, the following estimate holds

$$\|e^{t\Delta+\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}u_0\|_{\widetilde{L}^\rho(\mathbb{R}_+;\dot{B}^{s+\frac{2}{\rho}}_{p,r})} \le \varepsilon_0. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Before going to the proof, let us first recall that from Lemma **1** of Bae, Biswas and Tadmor [1] (see also Chapter 24, Lemarié-Rieusset [20]), the operator $e^{\frac{1}{2}t\Delta+\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}$ is a Fourier multiplier which maps boundedly $L^p\to L^p$, $1<p<\infty$, and its o perator norm is uniformly bounded with respect to $t\ge 0$. Then, one easily sees that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_j e^{t\Delta+\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}u_0\|_{L^p} \le& \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}e^{-\frac{1}{2}t|\xi|^2+\sqrt{t}|\xi|_1}\|_{L^1}\|\Delta_j e^{\frac{1}{2}t\Delta}u_0\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\Delta_j e^{\frac{1}{2}t\Delta}u_0\|_{L^p} \\ \lesssim& e^{-\kappa\frac{1}{2}2^{2j}t}\|\Delta_j u_0\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Hence,

$$\|\Delta_j e^{t\Delta+\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}u_0\|_{L^\rho_T L^p} \lesssim \left(\frac{1-e^{-\kappa\rho\frac{1}{2}2^{2j}T}}{\kappa\rho\frac{1}{2}2^{2j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \|\Delta_j u_0\|_{L^p}.$$

Noticing that we can choose a sufficiently large $J\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{|j|>J}\left(\frac{1-e^{-\kappa\rho\frac{1}{2}2^{2j}T}}{\kappa\rho\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{r}{\rho}} \left(2^{js}\|\Delta_j u_0\|_{L^p}\right)^r \le \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}.$$

On the other hand, one can choose T_0 small enough such that for $0 \le T \le T_0$,

$$\sum_{|j|\le J}\left(\frac{1-e^{-\kappa\rho\frac{1}{2}2^{2j}T}}{\kappa\rho\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{r}{\rho}}\left(2^{js}\|\Delta_j u_0\|_{L^p}\right)^r \le \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}.$$

Combining the above two estimates together, we obtain (3.3). To prove (3.4), multiplying both side of (3.5) with 2^{js}, and then taking sequence ℓ^r-norm in the resulting inequalities, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{t\Delta+\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}u_0\|_{\widetilde{L}^\rho_T(\dot{B}^{s+\frac{2}{\rho}}_{p,r})} &\lesssim \left\{\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{1-e^{-\kappa\rho\frac{1}{2}2^{2j}T}}{\kappa\rho\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{r}{\rho}}\left(2^{js}\|\Delta_j u_0\|_{L^p}\right)^r\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}\\ &\lesssim \left\{\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(2^{js}\|\Delta_j u_0\|_{L^p}\right)^r\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \le C\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,r}}.\end{aligned}$$

By taking $\eta_0 \le \frac{\varepsilon}{C}$, we obtain (3.4). These complete the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

Lemma 3.2 *Let $T>0$, $s\in\mathbb{R}$, $1<p<\infty$ and $1\le\rho,r\le\infty$. Assume that $u_0\in\dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $f\in\widetilde{L}^\rho(0,T;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{s-2+\frac{2}{\rho}}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Then (3.2) has a unique solution $u\in\widetilde{L}^\infty(0,T;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^\rho(0,T;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{s+\frac{2}{\rho}}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, and there exists a constant C such that for all $\rho_1\in[\rho,+\infty]$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^{\rho_1}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{s+\frac{2}{\rho_1}}_{p,r})} \le C\left(\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,r}}+\|f\|_{\widetilde{L}^\rho_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{s-2+\frac{2}{\rho}}_{p,r})}\right). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. In what follows, we shall show

$$\left\|\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}f(s)\mathrm{d}s\right\|_{\widetilde{L}^{\varrho_1}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{s+\frac{2}{\varrho_1}}_{p,r})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\widetilde{L}^\rho_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{s-2+\frac{2}{\rho}}_{p,r})}, \tag{3.7}$$

from which together with Lemma 3.1, it is easy to obtain (3.6). Noticing that from Lemma **2** of Bae, Biswas and Tadmor [1](see also Chapter 24, Lemarié-Rieusset [20]), the operator $e^{-(\sqrt{t-\tau}+\sqrt{\tau}-\sqrt{t})\Lambda_1}$ for $0\le\tau\le t$ is either the identity operator or is an L^1 kernel whose L^1-norm is bounded independent of τ,t. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\Delta_j\left(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}f(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right)\right\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \int_0^t\left\|\Delta_j\left(e^{-(\sqrt{t-\tau}+\sqrt{\tau}-\sqrt{t})\Lambda_1}e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-\tau)\Delta+\sqrt{t-\tau}\Lambda_1}e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-\tau)\Delta}e^{\sqrt{\tau}\Lambda_1}f(\tau)\right)\right\|_{L^p}\mathrm{d}\tau\\ &\lesssim \int_0^t\left\|\Delta_j\left(e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-\tau)\Delta+\sqrt{t-\tau}\Lambda_1}e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-\tau)\Delta}e^{\sqrt{\tau}\Lambda_1}f(\tau)\right)\right\|_{L^p}\mathrm{d}\tau\\ &\lesssim \int_0^t\left\|\Delta_j\left(e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-\tau)\Delta}e^{\sqrt{\tau}\Lambda_1}f(\tau)\right)\right\|_{L^p}\mathrm{d}\tau\\ &\lesssim \int_0^t e^{\kappa\frac{1}{2}(t-\tau)2^{2j}}\left\|\Delta_j\left(e^{\sqrt{\tau}\Lambda_1}f(\tau)\right)\right\|_{L^p}\mathrm{d}\tau.\end{aligned}$$

By using Young's inequality, it follows that for $\rho\le\rho_1$,

$$\left\|\Delta_j\left(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}f(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right)\right\|_{L^{\rho_1}_T(L^p)} \lesssim 2^{-2j(1+\frac{1}{\rho_1}-\frac{1}{\rho})}\left\|\Delta_j\left(e^{\sqrt{\tau}\Lambda_1}f(\tau)\right)\right\|_{L^\rho_T(L^p)}.$$

Multiplying both side of the above inequality with $2^{j(s+\frac{2}{\varrho_1})}$, and then taking sequence ℓ^r-norm in the resulting inequality, we obtain (3.7). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

In what follows, we shall give two lemmas which play a crucial role in proof of our main result.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $0<T\le\infty$ and $1<p,q<\infty$ such that*

$$-\inf\{\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2p}\} \le \frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}. \tag{3.8}$$

Then, it holds that

$$\|fg\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})}\|g\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1})}+\|f\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1})}\|g\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})}, \tag{3.9}$$

for all $f,g\in\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

Proof. Set $F = e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1} f$ and $G = e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1} g$. Then, by using Bony's paraproduct decomposition stated in (2.1) and the definitions of the operators Δ_j and S_j, we get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\Delta_j(fg) =& e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\Delta_j(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}Fe^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}G) \\ =& \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5}\sum_{j''\le j'-2}\Delta_j(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}(\Delta_{j''}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}F)\Delta_{j'}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}G))) \\ &+ \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5}\sum_{j''\le j'-2}\Delta_j(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}(\Delta_{j''}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}G)\Delta_{j'}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}F))) \\ &+ \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0}\Delta_j(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}(\Delta_{j''}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}F)\widetilde{\Delta}_{j'}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}G)) \\ :=& I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

In what follows, we use the idea as in Lemarié-Rieusset [20] (see Chapter 24) and Bae-Biswas-Tadmor [1] to consider the following operator $B_t(u,v)$ of the form:

$$B_t(u,v) = e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}ue^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\cdot\xi}e^{\sqrt{t}(|\xi|_1-|\xi-\eta|_1-|\eta|_1)}\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{v}(\eta)\mathrm{d}\eta\mathrm{d}\xi.$$

We now split the domain of integration of the above integral into sub-domains, depending on the sign of ξ_i, of η_i and of $\xi_i-\eta_i$. Indeed, denote for $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$, $\mu=(\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3)$, $\gamma=(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3)\in\{-1,1\}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} &D_\lambda = \{\eta : \lambda_i\eta_i \ge 0, \quad i=1,2,3\}; \quad D_\mu = \{\xi-\eta : \mu_i(\xi_i-\eta_i)\ge 0, \quad i=1,2,3\}; \\ &D_\gamma = \{\xi : \gamma_i\xi_i \ge 0, \quad i=1,2,3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let χ_D be the characteristic function on the domain D. Then we can rewrite $B_t(u,v)$ as

$$B_t(u,v) = \sum_{\lambda,\mu,\gamma\in\{-1,1\}^3}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\cdot\xi}\chi_{D_\gamma}(\xi)e^{\sqrt{t}(|\xi|_1-|\xi-\eta|_1-|\eta|_1)}\chi_{D_\mu}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)\chi_{D_\lambda}(\eta)\widehat{v}(\eta)\mathrm{d}\eta\mathrm{d}\xi.$$

By using this observation, we introduce the following operators acting on one variable:

$$K_k u = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty e^{ix\xi}\widehat{u}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi & \text{if } k=1; \\ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{ix\xi}\widehat{u}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi & \text{if } k=-1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{t,k_1,k_2}u = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } k_1,k_2\in\{-1,1\} \text{ and } k_1k_2=1; \\ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi}2^{-2t|\xi|}\widehat{u}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi & \text{if } k_1,k_2\in\{-1,1\} \text{ and } k_1k_2=-1. \end{cases}$$

For $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)$, $\beta=(\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3)\in\{-1,1\}^3$, denote the operator

$$Z_{t,\alpha,\beta} = K_{\beta_1}\mathcal{L}_{t,\alpha_1,\beta_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes K_{\beta_3}\mathcal{L}_{t,\alpha_3,\beta_3}.$$

It is easy to see that the above tensor product means that the j-th operator in the tensor product acts on the j-th variable of the function $u(x_1,x_2,x_3)$. Then, a tedious but elementary calculations yields the following identity

$$B_t(u,v) = \sum_{(\lambda,\mu,\gamma)\in\{-1,1\}^3} K_{\lambda_1}\otimes K_{\lambda_2}\otimes K_{\lambda_3}(Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}uZ_{t,\mu,\gamma}v). \tag{3.11}$$

Notice that for $\eta\in D_\lambda$, $\xi-\eta\in D_\mu$ and $\xi\in D_\gamma$, $e^{\sqrt{t}(|\xi|_1-|\xi-\eta|_1-|\eta|_1)}$ must belongs to the following set

$$\mathfrak{M} := \{1, e^{-2\sqrt{t}|\xi_i|}, e^{-2\sqrt{t}|\xi_i-\eta_i|}e^{-2\sqrt{t}|\eta_i|}\}, \quad i=1,2,3.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that the operators χ_{D_λ}, χ_{D_μ}, χ_{D_γ}, and every element of $\mathfrak{M}$ are the Fourier multiplier on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $1<p<\infty$. Hence, the operators K_{λ_i} $(i=1,2,3)$, $Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}$ and $Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}$ in (3.11) are linear combination of Fourier multipliers on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (including Hilbert transform) and the identity operator. Moreover, they are bounded linear operators on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $1<p<\infty$, and the corresponding norm of $Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}$ and $Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}$ is bounded independent of $t\geq 0$. By using these facts, we taking the L^p-norm to (3.11), it follows that

$$\|B_t(u,v)\|_{L^p}\lesssim \|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}uZ_{t,\mu,\gamma}v\|_{L^p}. \tag{3.12}$$

Applying this argument, we can estimate the term I_1 for $1<p\leq\frac{3}{2}$ and $p\leq q\leq\frac{3p}{3-p}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_1\|_{L^1_TL^p}&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}\sum_{j''\leq j'-2}\|\Delta_j(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}(\Delta_{j''}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}F)\Delta_{j'}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}G)))\|_{L^1_TL^p}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}\sum_{j''\leq j'-2}\|\Delta_j B_t(\Delta_{j''}F,\Delta_{j'}G)\|_{L^1_TL^p}\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}\sum_{j''\leq j'-2}\|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j''}FZ_{t,\mu,\gamma}\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^1_TL^p}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}\sum_{j''\leq j'-2}\|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j''}F\|_{L^\infty_TL^{\frac{pq}{q-p}}}\|Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^1_TL^q}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}\sum_{j''\leq j'-2}2^{(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3(q-p)}{pq})j''}\|\Delta_{j''}Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}F\|_{L^\infty_TL^q}\|\Delta_{j'}Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}G\|_{L^1_TL^q}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}\sum_{j''\leq j'-2}2^{(1-\frac{3(q-p)}{pq})j''}2^{(\frac{3}{q}-1)j''}\|\Delta_{j''}F\|_{L^\infty_TL^q}\|\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^1_TL^q}\\
&\lesssim \|F\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})}\sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}2^{-\frac{3}{p}j'}2^{(1+\frac{3}{q})j'}\|\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^1_TL^q}\\
&\lesssim \|F\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})}\sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}2^{-\frac{3}{p}j'}a_{j'}\|G\|_{L^1_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1})}\\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{3}{p}j}a_j\|F\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})}\|G\|_{L^1_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1})},
\end{aligned}$$

for $1<q\leq p\leq\frac{3}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_1\|_{L^1_TL^p}&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}\sum_{j''\leq j'-2}\|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j''}FZ_{t,\mu,\gamma}\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^1_TL^p}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}\sum_{j''\leq j'-2}\|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j''}F\|_{L^\infty_TL^\infty}\|Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^1_TL^p}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}2^{(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p})j'}\sum_{j''\leq j'-2}2^{\frac{3}{q}j''}\|\Delta_{j''}Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}F\|_{L^\infty_TL^q}\|\Delta_{j'}Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}G\|_{L^1_TL^q}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}2^{(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p})j'}\sum_{j''\leq j'-2}2^{j''}2^{(\frac{3}{q}-1)j''}\|\Delta_{j''}F\|_{L^\infty_TL^q}\|\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^1_TL^q}\\
&\lesssim \|F\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})}\sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}2^{-\frac{3}{p}j'}2^{(1+\frac{3}{q})j'}\|\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^1_TL^q}\\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{3}{p}j}a_j\|F\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})}\|G\|_{L^1_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1})},
\end{aligned}$$

and for $\frac{3}{2}\leq p<\infty$ and $1<q\leq 2p$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_1\|_{L^1_TL^p}&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}\sum_{j''\leq j'-2}\|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j''}FZ_{t,\mu,\gamma}\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^1_TL^p}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}\sum_{j''\leq j'-2}\|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j''}F\|_{L^\infty_TL^{2p}}\|Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^1_TL^{2p}}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}2^{(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{2p})j'}\sum_{j''\leq j'-2}2^{(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{2p})j''}\|\Delta_{j''}Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}F\|_{L^\infty_TL^q}\|\Delta_{j'}Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}G\|_{L^1_TL^q}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\leq 5}2^{(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{2p})j'}\sum_{j''\leq j'-2}2^{(1-\frac{3}{2p})j''}2^{(\frac{3}{q}-1)j''}\|\Delta_{j''}F\|_{L^\infty_TL^q}\|\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^1_TL^q}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lesssim & \|F\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})}\sum_{|j'-j|\le 5}2^{-\frac{3}{p}j'}2^{(1+\frac{3}{q})j'}\|\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^1_TL^q}\\
\lesssim & 2^{-\frac{3}{p}j}a_j\|F\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})}\|G\|_{L^1_T(\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1})},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact that $Z_{t,\lambda,\nu}$ commutes with Δ_j and the boundedness of $Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $1<p<\infty$. Here, $\{a_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is the generic element of $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $a_j\ge 0$ and $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}a_j=1$. Similarly, for all $1<p,q<\infty$ and $-\inf\{\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2p}\}\le\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}$, we have

$$
\|I_2\|_{L^1_TL^p}\lesssim 2^{-\frac{3}{p}j}a_j\|G\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})}\|F\|_{L^1_T(\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1})}.
$$

For the remainder term I_3, notice that when $1<p\le\frac{3}{2}$, we still have $q\le\frac{3p}{3-p}\le 2p$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|I_3\|_{L^1_TL^p}\lesssim & \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0}\|\Delta_j(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}(\Delta_{j''}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}F)\widetilde\Delta_{j'}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}G))\|_{L^1_TL^p}\\
\lesssim & \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0}\|\Delta_jB_t(\Delta_{j'}F,\widetilde\Delta_{j'}G)\|_{L^1_TL^p}\lesssim\sum_{j'\ge j-N_0}\|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j'}FZ_{t,\mu,\gamma}\widetilde\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^1_TL^p}\\
\lesssim & \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0}\|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j'}F\|_{L^1_TL^{2p}}\|Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}\widetilde\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^\infty_TL^{2p}}\lesssim\sum_{j'\ge j-N_0}\|\Delta_{j'}F\|_{L^1_TL^{2p}}\|\widetilde\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^\infty_TL^{2p}}\\
\lesssim & \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0}2^{2(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{2p})j'}\|\Delta_{j'}F\|_{L^1_TL^q}\|\widetilde\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^\infty_TL^q}\\
\lesssim & \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0}2^{(1+\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p})j'}\|\Delta_{j'}F\|_{L^1_TL^q}\|G\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})}\\
\lesssim & 2^{-\frac{3}{p}j}a_j\|F\|_{L^1_T(\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1})}\|G\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the estimates I_1, I_2, I_3 and the equality (3.10) together, we immediately obtain (3.9). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3. □

Remark 3.4 *We notice that if we replace* (3.8) *by* $-\inf\{\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2p}\}<\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}$ *in Lemma 3.3, with suitable revision of the proofs presented above, we have for some* $\theta\in(0,1)$

$$
\|fg\|_{\widetilde L^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot B^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})}\lesssim\|f\|_{\widetilde L^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}-\frac{1-\theta}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})}\|g\|_{\widetilde L^{1+\theta}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{1+\theta}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})}+\|f\|_{\widetilde L^{1+\theta}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{1+\theta}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})}\|g\|_{\widetilde L^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}-\frac{1-\theta}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})}.
$$

Lemma 3.5 *Let* $0<T\le\infty$ *and* $1<p,q<\infty$ *such that*

$$
\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\le\frac{1}{3}. \tag{3.13}
$$

Then, it holds that

$$
\|fg\|_{\widetilde L^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\lesssim\|f\|_{\widetilde L^2_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot B^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1})}\|g\|_{\widetilde L^2_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}+\|f\|_{\widetilde L^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot B^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}\|g\|_{\widetilde L^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})}, \tag{3.14}
$$

for $f\in\widetilde L^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot B^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde L^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot B^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ *and* $g\in\widetilde L^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde L^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot B^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

Proof. Set $F=e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}f$ and $G=e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}g$. It is easy to see that the identity (3.8) still holds. In what follows, we only need to estimate the terms I_1, I_2 and I_3 of (3.8). By using (3.12) and the Hölder's inequality, I_1 can be estimated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|I_1\|_{L^1_TL^q}\lesssim & \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5}\sum_{j''\le j'-2}\|\Delta_jB_t(\Delta_{j''}F,\Delta_{j'}G)\|_{L^1_TL^q}\lesssim\sum_{|j'-j|\le 5}\sum_{j''\le j'-2}\|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j''}FZ_{t,\mu,\gamma}\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^1_TL^q}\\
\lesssim & \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5}\sum_{j''\le j'-2}\|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j''}F\|_{L^2_TL^\infty}\|Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}\Delta_{j'}G\|_{L^2_TL^q}\\
\lesssim & \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5}\sum_{j''\le j'-2}2^{\frac{3}{p}j''}\|\Delta_{j''}Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}F\|_{L^2_TL^p}\|\Delta_{j'}Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}G\|_{L^2_TL^q}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\lesssim \|F\|_{L^2_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1})} \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5} 2^{-\frac{3}{q}j'} 2^{\frac{3}{q}j'} \|\Delta_{j'} G\|_{L^2_T L^q} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{3}{q}j} a_j \|F\|_{L^2_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1})} \|G\|_{L^2_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}.$$

For the term I_2, notice that there holds $\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \le \frac{1}{3}$, we have for $q \le p$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_2\|_{L^1_T L^q} &\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5} \sum_{j''\le j'-2} \|\Delta_j B_t(\Delta_{j''} G, \Delta_{j'} F)\|_{L^1_T L^q} \lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5} \sum_{j''\le j'-2} \|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j''} G Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}\Delta_{j'} F\|_{L^1_T L^q} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5} \sum_{j''\le j'-2} \|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j''} G\|_{L^\infty_T L^r} \|Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}\Delta_{j'} F\|_{L^1_T L^p} \quad (\text{with } \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r}) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5} \sum_{j''\le j'-2} 2^{(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{r})j''} \|\Delta_{j''} Z_{t,\lambda,\mu} G\|_{L^\infty_T L^q} \|\Delta_{j'} Z_{t,\mu,\gamma} F\|_{L^1_T L^p} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5} \sum_{j''\le j'-2} 2^{(1-\frac{3}{r})j''} 2^{(\frac{3}{q}-1)j''} \|\Delta_{j''} G\|_{L^\infty_T L^q} \|\Delta_{j'} F\|_{L^1_T L^p} \quad (\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \le \frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow 1 - \frac{3}{r} \ge 0) \\
&\lesssim \|G\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})} \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5} 2^{-\frac{3}{q}j'} 2^{(1+\frac{3}{p})j'} \|\Delta_{j'} F\|_{L^1_T L^p} \quad (1 - \frac{3}{r} = 1 - \frac{3}{q} + \frac{3}{p}) \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{3}{q}j} a_j \|G\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})} \|F\|_{L^1_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})},
\end{aligned}$$

and for $q \ge p$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_2\|_{L^1_T L^q} &\lesssim 2^{(\frac{3}{p}-\frac{3}{q})j} \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5} \sum_{j''\le j'-2} \|\Delta_j B_t(\Delta_{j''} G, \Delta_{j'} F)\|_{L^1_T L^p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{(\frac{3}{p}-\frac{3}{q})j} \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5} \sum_{j''\le j'-2} \|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j''} G Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}\Delta_{j'} F\|_{L^1_T L^p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{(\frac{3}{p}-\frac{3}{q})j} \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5} \sum_{j''\le j'-2} \|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j''} G\|_{L^\infty_T L^\infty} \|Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}\Delta_{j'} F\|_{L^1_T L^p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{(\frac{3}{p}-\frac{3}{q})j} \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5} \sum_{j''\le j'-2} 2^{\frac{3}{q}j''} \|\Delta_{j''} Z_{t,\lambda,\mu} G\|_{L^\infty_T L^q} \|\Delta_{j'} Z_{t,\mu,\gamma} F\|_{L^1_T L^p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{(\frac{3}{p}-\frac{3}{q})j} \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5} \sum_{j''\le j'-2} 2^{j''} 2^{(\frac{3}{q}-1)j''} \|\Delta_{j''} G\|_{L^\infty_T L^q} \|\Delta_{j'} F\|_{L^1_T L^p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{(\frac{3}{p}-\frac{3}{q})j} \|G\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})} \sum_{|j'-j|\le 5} 2^{-\frac{3}{p}j'} 2^{(1+\frac{3}{p})j'} \|\Delta_{j'} F\|_{L^1_T L^p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{3}{q}j} a_j \|G\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1})} \|F\|_{L^1_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the remainder term I_3, if in the case when $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \le 1$. Let $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$, then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_3\|_{L^1_T L^q} &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{p}j} \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0} \|\Delta_j(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}(\Delta_{j''}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1} F)\widetilde{\Delta}_{j'}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1} G))\|_{L^1_T L^r} \\
&\lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{p}j} \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0} \|\Delta_j B_t(\Delta_{j'} F, \widetilde{\Delta}_{j'} G)\|_{L^1_T L^r} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{p}j} \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0} \|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j'} F Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}\widetilde{\Delta}_{j'} G\|_{L^1_T L^r} \\
&\lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{p}j} \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0} \|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j'} F\|_{L^2_T L^p} \|Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}\widetilde{\Delta}_{j'} G\|_{L^2_T L^q} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{p}j} \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0} \|\Delta_{j'} F\|_{L^2_T L^p} \|\widetilde{\Delta}_{j'} G\|_{L^2_T L^q} \\
&\lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{p}j} \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0} 2^{-(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{3}{p})j'} 2^{\frac{3}{p}j'} \|\Delta_{j'} F\|_{L^2_T L^p} \|G\|_{L^2_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{3}{q}j} a_j \|F\|_{L^2_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1})} \|G\|_{L^2_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

If in the case when $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} > 1 = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{q}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_3\|_{L^1_T L^q} &\lesssim 2^{3(1-\frac{1}{q})j} \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0} \|\Delta_j(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}(\Delta_{j''}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1} F)\widetilde{\Delta}_{j'}(e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1} G))\|_{L^1_T L^1} \\
&\lesssim 2^{3(1-\frac{1}{q})j} \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0} \|\Delta_j B_t(\Delta_{j'} F, \widetilde{\Delta}_{j'} G)\|_{L^1_T L^1} \lesssim 2^{3(1-\frac{1}{q})j} \sum_{j'\ge j-N_0} \|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j'} F Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}\widetilde{\Delta}_{j'} G\|_{L^1_T L^1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim 2^{3(1-\frac{1}{q})j}\sum_{j'\geq j-N_0}\|Z_{t,\lambda,\mu}\Delta_{j'}F\|_{L^2_TL^r}\|Z_{t,\mu,\gamma}\widetilde{\Delta}_{j'}G\|_{L^2_TL^q}\lesssim 2^{3(1-\frac{1}{q})j}\sum_{j'\geq j-N_0}\|\Delta_{j'}F\|_{L^2_TL^r}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_{j'}G\|_{L^2_TL^q}$$
$$\lesssim 2^{3(1-\frac{1}{q})j}\sum_{j'\geq j-N_0}2^{-(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{3}{r})j'}2^{\frac{3}{p}j'}\|\Delta_{j'}F\|_{L^2_TL^p}\|G\|_{L^2_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\lesssim 2^{-\frac{3}{q}j}a_j\|F\|_{L^2_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1})}\|G\|_{L^2_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}.$$

Combining the estimates I_1, I_2, I_3 together, it follows that (3.14) is established. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.5. □

Remark 3.6 *Notice that it holds that* $\|\nabla g\|_{\widetilde{L}^\rho_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{s-1}_{p,r})}\approx\|g\|_{\widetilde{L}^\rho_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{s}_{p,r})}$. *From Lemma 3.5, it is easy to see that for* $f\in\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ *and* $g\in\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, *we have for* $\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\leq\frac{1}{3}$

$$\|f\cdot\nabla g\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\lesssim\|f\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1})}\|g\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1})}+\|f\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}\|g\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}.$$

Moreover, if we replace (3.13) *by* $\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}<\frac{1}{3}$ *in Lemma 3.5, with little revision of the proofs, we have for some* $\theta\in(0,1)$

$$\|f\cdot\nabla g\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\lesssim\|f\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1})}\|g\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1})}+\|f\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{2}{1+\theta}}_{p,1})}\|g\|_{\widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2\theta}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})}.$$

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let us first recall the Leray projection operator to the divergence free vector field space $\mathbb{P}\triangleq I-\nabla\Delta^{-1}\operatorname{div}$. Denote $\delta\triangleq d-\overline{d}_0$ and $\delta_0\triangleq d_0-\overline{d}_0$. Then we can rewrite system (1.1) as

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u-\Delta u=-\mathbb{P}[u\cdot\nabla u+\operatorname{div}(\nabla\delta\odot\nabla\delta)],\\ \partial_t\delta-\Delta\delta=-u\cdot\nabla\delta+|\nabla\delta|^2\delta+|\nabla\delta|^2\overline{d}_0,\\ u|_{t=0}=u_0(x),\quad \delta|_{t=0}=\delta_0(x),\end{cases}\tag{3.15}$$

where the initial data satisfying the following far field behavior

$$u_0\to 0,\delta_0\to 0\text{ as }|x|\to\infty.\tag{3.16}$$

By the Duhamel principle, we can express a solution (u,δ) of (3.15) and (3.16) in the integral form:

$$\begin{cases}u(t)=e^{t\Delta}u_0-\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}\mathbb{P}[u\cdot\nabla u+\operatorname{div}(\nabla\delta\odot\nabla\delta)](s)\mathrm{d}s,\\ \delta(t)=e^{t\Delta}\delta_0+\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}[-u\cdot\nabla\delta+|\nabla\delta|^2\delta+|\nabla\delta|^2\overline{d}_0](s)\mathrm{d}s.\end{cases}\tag{3.17}$$

Here, we notice that $\mathbb{P}$ is a homogeneous multiplier of degree zero. Let

$$u_L\triangleq e^{t\Delta}u_0\text{ and }\delta_L\triangleq e^{t\Delta}\delta_0.$$

Similar as the proof of Lemma 3.1, it is easy to see that $u_L\in\widetilde{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1(\mathbb{R}_+;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\delta_L\in\widetilde{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1(\mathbb{R}_+;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, and there hold

$$\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})}+\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}\leq C_0\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}},\text{ for all }0<T\leq\infty;\tag{3.18}$$

$$\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\leq C_0\|\delta_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}},\text{ for all }0<T\leq\infty.\tag{3.19}$$

Define $\overline{u}\triangleq u-u_L$ and $\overline{\delta}\triangleq\delta-\delta_L$. Then (u,δ) is a solution of (3.17) if and only if $(\overline{u},\overline{\delta})$ is the solution of the following system

$$\begin{cases}\overline{u}(t)=-\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}\mathbb{P}[\overline{u}\cdot\nabla\overline{u}+\operatorname{div}(\nabla\overline{\delta}\odot\nabla\overline{\delta})+R_1+R_2](s)\mathrm{d}s,\\ \overline{\delta}(t)=\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}[-\overline{u}\cdot\nabla\overline{\delta}+|\nabla\overline{\delta}|^2\overline{\delta}+|\nabla\overline{\delta}|^2\overline{d}_0-R_3+R_4+R_5](s)\mathrm{d}s,\end{cases}\tag{3.20}$$

with

$$R_1 = u_L\cdot\nabla u_L + u_L\cdot\nabla\overline{u} + \overline{u}\cdot\nabla u_L;\quad R_2 = \operatorname{div}(\nabla\delta_L\odot\nabla\delta_L) + 2\operatorname{div}(\nabla\delta_L\odot\nabla\overline{\delta});$$
$$R_3 = u_L\cdot\nabla\delta_L + u_L\cdot\nabla\overline{\delta} + \overline{u}\cdot\nabla\delta_L;\qquad R_4 = |\nabla\delta_L|^2\overline{d}_0 + 2|\nabla\delta_L||\nabla\overline{\delta}|\overline{d}_0;$$
$$R_5 = |\nabla\delta_L|^2\delta_L + |\nabla\delta_L|^2\overline{\delta} + 2|\nabla\delta_L||\nabla\overline{\delta}|\delta_L + 2|\nabla\delta_L||\nabla\overline{\delta}|\overline{\delta} + |\nabla\overline{\delta}|^2\delta_L.$$

In what follows, we will use the iterative method to prove Theorem 1.2. We only prove the local-in-time existence case, and in a similar way, we can extend the local-in-time existence of solution to the global-in-time existence of solution in the case of small initial data. Let $(\overline{u}_0,\overline{\delta}_0) := (0,0)$, and $(\overline{u}_n,\overline{\delta}_n)$ satisfies the following equations

$$\begin{cases}\overline{u}_n(t) = -\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}\mathbb{P}[\overline{u}_{n-1}\cdot\nabla\overline{u}_{n-1} + \operatorname{div}(\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\odot\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1}) + R_{1(n-1)} + R_{2(n-1)}](s)\mathrm{d}s,\\ \overline{\delta}_n(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}[-\overline{u}_{n-1}\cdot\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1} + |\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1}|^2\overline{\delta}_{n-1} + |\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1}|^2\overline{d}_0 - R_{3(n-1)} + R_{4(n-1)} + R_{5(n-1)}](s)\mathrm{d}s,\end{cases}$$

with

$$R_{1(n-1)} = u_L\cdot\nabla u_L + u_L\cdot\nabla\overline{u}_{n-1} + \overline{u}_{n-1}\cdot\nabla u_L;\quad R_{2(n-1)} = \operatorname{div}(\nabla\delta_L\odot\nabla\delta_L) + 2\operatorname{div}(\nabla\delta_L\odot\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1});$$
$$R_{3(n-1)} = u_L\cdot\nabla\delta_L + u_L\cdot\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1} + \overline{u}_{n-1}\cdot\nabla\delta_L;\qquad R_{4(n-1)} = |\nabla\delta_L|^2\overline{d}_0 + 2|\nabla\delta_L||\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1}|\overline{d}_0;$$
$$R_{5(n-1)} = |\nabla\delta_L|^2\delta_L + |\nabla\delta_L|^2\overline{\delta}_{n-1} + 2|\nabla\delta_L||\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1}|\delta_L + 2|\nabla\delta_L||\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1}|\overline{\delta}_{n-1} + |\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1}|^2\delta_L.$$

Step 1: **Uniform boundedness of** $(\overline{u}_n,\overline{\delta}_n)$

We claim that there exist $T>0$ and small $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$A\left(\|\overline{u}_n\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})} + \|\overline{\delta}_n\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\right) + B\left(\|\overline{u}_n\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})} + \|\overline{\delta}_n\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\right)\le\varepsilon,\quad (3.21)$$

where

$$A=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } -\inf\{\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2p}\}\le\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}<\frac{1}{3},\\ 2C_0C_1M_0, & \text{if } \frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{3},\end{cases}\quad\text{and } B=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } -\inf\{\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2p}\}<\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\le\frac{1}{3},\\ 2C_0C_1M_0, & \text{if } \frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}=-\inf\{\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2p}\},\end{cases}\quad (3.22)$$

for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Here, $M_0 := \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}} + \|\delta_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}}$ is defined in Theorem 1.2, C_0 and C_1 are two uniform constants. In fact, notice that $(\overline{u}_0,\overline{\delta}_0)=(0,0)$, it is easy to see (3.21) holds for $n=0$.

We are in a position to prove (3.21) for all $n\in\mathbb{N}_+$. In what follows, we shall only give the proof when $\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p} = -\inf\{\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2p}\}$ for the general $n\in\mathbb{N}_+$. Due to the proof when $-\inf\{\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2p}\}<\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\le\frac{1}{3}$ is similar, thus we omit the details. By using Lemmas 3.3, 3.5, Remarks 3.4, 3.6, the fact that the operator $\mathbb{P}$ is a homogeneous multiplier of degree zero, and the interpolation inequality in Besov space, we have for some $\theta\in(0,1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\overline{u}_n\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})} + \|\overline{u}_n\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}\\ \le& C\Big(\|\overline{u}_{n-1}\cdot\nabla\overline{u}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})} + \|\operatorname{div}(\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\odot\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1})\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})} + \|R_{1(n-1)}+R_{2(n-1)}\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})}\Big)\\ \le& C\Big[\Big(\|\overline{u}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})} + \|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\Big)^2\\ &+\Big(\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})} + \|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\Big)\Big(\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})} + \|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\Big)\\ &+\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1})}\Big(\|\overline{u}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})} + \|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\Big)\\ &+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\Big] + C_1\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})},\end{aligned}\quad (3.23)$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\overline{\delta}_n\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}+\|\overline{\delta}_n\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\\
\leq& C\Big(\|\overline{u}_{n-1}\cdot\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}+\||\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1}|^2\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}+\||\nabla\overline{\delta}_{n-1}|^2\overline{d}_0\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\\
&+\|-R_{3(n-1)}+R_{4(n-1)}+R_{5(n-1)}\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\Big)\\
\leq& C\Big[(1+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})})\Big(\|\overline{u}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}+\|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\Big)^2\\
&+\Big(\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})}+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\Big)\Big(\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\Big)\\
&+\|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|^2_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}+\|\delta_L\|^2_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\\
&+\Big(\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1})}+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1})}+\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{1-\theta}{1+\theta}}_{p,1})}+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2\theta}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})}\Big)\\
&\times\Big(\|\overline{u}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}+\|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\Big)\Big],
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.24}
$$

where we have used the fact that $\|\nabla f\|_{L^r_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^s_{p,q})}\approx\|f\|_{L^r_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{s+1}_{p,q})}$ with $s\in\mathbb{R},1\leq p,q,r\leq\infty$. On the other hand, notice that from Lemma 3.1, we find that there exists $T_0>0$ such that for any given small number $0<\zeta<1$, it holds that for all $\theta\in(0,1]$ and $0<T\leq T_0$,

$$
\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\leq\zeta,
\tag{3.25}
$$

$$
\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{1-\theta}{1+\theta}}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{\theta-1}{1+\theta}}_{p,1})}+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2\theta}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})}\leq\zeta.
\tag{3.26}
$$

From inequalities (3.18) and (3.19), we have

$$
\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})}+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\leq C_0(\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}}+\|\delta_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}})=C_0M_0.
\tag{3.27}
$$

Hence, by using the fact that $(\overline{u}_{n-1}(0),\overline{\delta}_{n-1}(0))=(0,0)$, we can choose $T\leq T_0$ small enough such that the claim (3.21) holds for $n-1$, i.e.,

$$
\|\overline{u}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}+2C_0C_1M_0\|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\leq\varepsilon.
\tag{3.28}
$$

Inserting (3.25)–(3.28) into (3.23) and (3.24), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\overline{u}_n\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}+2C_0C_1M_0\|\overline{\delta}_n\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\\
\leq& C\Big[(1+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})})\Big(\|\overline{u}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}+\|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\Big)^2\\
&+\Big(\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})}+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\Big)\Big(\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}+\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+2}_{p,1})}\Big)\\
&+\Big(\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1})}+\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{1-\theta}{1+\theta}}_{p,1})}+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1})}+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2\theta}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})}\Big)\\
&\times\Big(\|\overline{u}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}+\|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\Big)\\
&+\|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|^2_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}+\|\delta_L\|^2_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\\
&+\|\delta_L\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\Big]+C_0C_1M_0\|\overline{\delta}_{n-1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\\
\leq& C\Big[(1+C_0M_0)(\varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon}{2C_0C_1M_0})^2+\zeta(C_0M_0+(C_0M_0)^2+2\varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon}{2C_0C_1M_0})+\frac{\varepsilon^3}{(2C_0C_1M_0)^3}\Big]+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.29}
$$

By letting $\zeta, \varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that,

$$C(1+C_0M_0)(1+\frac{1}{2C_0C_1M_0})^2\varepsilon \le \frac{1}{8}; \qquad C(C_0M_0+C_0^2M_0^2)\zeta \le \frac{\varepsilon}{8};$$
$$C\zeta(2+\frac{1}{2C_0C_1M_0}) + \frac{\varepsilon^2\mu^2}{(2C_0C_1M_0)^3} \le \frac{1}{4}.$$

Then, it follows from (3.29) that

$$\|\overline{u}_n\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})} + 2C_0C_1M_0\|\overline{\delta}_n\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})} \le \varepsilon.$$

Arguing by induction, we conclude that (3.21) with $\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p} = -\inf\{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2p}\}$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

Step 2: **Convergence of** $(\overline{u}_n, \overline{\delta}_n)$

We claim that $(\overline{u}_n, \overline{\delta}_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\times\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Let us consider

$$A\Big(\|\overline{u}_{m+n+1}-\overline{u}_{n+1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}\Big) + B\|\overline{\delta}_{m+n+1}-\overline{\delta}_{n+1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}, \tag{3.30}$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, where A, B defined as (3.22). Similar as the previous subsection, we only consider the case of $\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p} = -\inf\{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2p}\}$, and in the similar way, we can establish that $(\overline{u}_n, \overline{\delta}_n)$ is still a Cauchy sequence in the case of $-\inf\{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2p}\} < \frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p} \le \frac{1}{3}$. According to the proof of Lemma 3.2, we need to estimate $\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$-norm or $\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$-norm of the following terms:

$$I_1(t,x) \triangleq \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}\mathbb{P}[(\overline{u}_{m+n}\cdot\nabla\overline{u}_{m+n}) - (\overline{u}_n\cdot\nabla\overline{u}_n)](s)\mathrm{d}s;$$
$$I_2(t,x) \triangleq \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}\mathbb{P}[\nabla\cdot(\nabla\overline{\delta}_{m+n}\odot\nabla\overline{\delta}_{m+n}) - \nabla\cdot(\nabla\overline{\delta}_n\odot\nabla\overline{\delta}_n)](s)\mathrm{d}s;$$
$$I_3(t,x) \triangleq \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}[\overline{u}_{m+n}\cdot\nabla\overline{\delta}_{m+n} - \overline{u}_n\cdot\nabla\overline{\delta}_n](s)\mathrm{d}s;$$
$$I_4(t,x) \triangleq \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}[|\nabla\overline{\delta}_{m+n}|^2\overline{\delta}_{m+n} - |\nabla\overline{\delta}_n|^2\overline{\delta}_n](s)\mathrm{d}s;$$
$$I_5(t,x) \triangleq \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}[|\nabla\overline{\delta}_{m+n}|^2\overline{d}_0 - |\nabla\overline{\delta}_n|^2\overline{d}_0](s)\mathrm{d}s;$$
$$J_j(t,x) \triangleq \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}[R_{j(m+n)} - R_{j(n)}](s)\mathrm{d}s \quad \text{with } j = 1,2,\cdots,5.$$

Then, similar as derivation of (3.21), if we choose ε, ζ small enough, a straight calculus by using Lemmas 3.3, 3.5, Remarks 3.4, 3.6, the interpolation inequality, and the inequalities (3.19) and (3.25)–(3.27) gives that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\overline{u}_{m+n+1}-\overline{u}_{n+1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})} + 2C_0C_1M_0\|\overline{\delta}_{m+n+1}-\overline{\delta}_{n+1}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\\ \le&\frac{1}{2}\Big(\|\overline{u}_{m+n}-\overline{u}_n\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})} + 2C_0C_1M_0\|\overline{\delta}_{m+n}-\overline{\delta}_n\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

when $\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p} = -\inf\{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2p}\}$. Thus we obtain (3.30) for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

From (3.30), we see that (u_n, δ_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\times\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with $1 \le p, q < \infty$ and $-\inf\{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2p}\} \le \frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p} \le \frac{1}{3}$. Let

$$\overline{u}_n \to \overline{u} \text{ in } \widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

and

$$\overline{\delta}_n \to \overline{\delta} \text{ in } \widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

By using the standard arguments, we can prove that $(\overline{u},\overline{\delta})$ is a solution of (3.20) on $\mathbb{R}^3\times[0,T]$. This implies that

$$(u,\delta)=(u_L+\overline{u},\delta_L+\overline{\delta})\in\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\times\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1_T(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

is the solution of (3.17). In fact, the solution can be extended step by step and finally we have a maximal time T^* verifying $(u,\delta)\in\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\times\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap\widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. If $T^*<\infty$ and for all $\theta\in(0,1]$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}+\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{1-\theta}{1+\theta}}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{\theta-1}{1+\theta}}_{p,1})}\\&+\|\delta\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}+\|\delta\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2\theta}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})}<\infty,\end{aligned}$$

we claim that the solution (u,d) can be extended beyond T^*. Indeed, let us consider the integral equations

$$\begin{cases}u(t)=e^{(t-T)\Delta}u(T)-\int_T^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}\mathbb{P}[u\cdot\nabla u+\mathrm{div}(\nabla\delta\odot\nabla\delta)](s)\mathrm{d}s,\\ \delta(t)=e^{(t-T)\Delta}\delta(T)+\int_T^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}[-u\cdot\nabla\delta+|\nabla\delta|^2\delta+|\nabla\delta|^2\overline{d}_0](s)\mathrm{d}s.\end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}(u,\delta):=\mathbb{P}[u\cdot\nabla u+\mathrm{div}(\nabla\delta\odot\nabla\delta)]$ and $\mathcal{B}(u,\delta):=-u\cdot\nabla\delta+|\nabla\delta|^2\delta+|\nabla\delta|^2\overline{d}_0$. By using Lemma 3.2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|e^{(t-T)\Delta}u(T)\|_{\widetilde{L}^1(T,T^*;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}+\|e^{(t-T)\Delta}u(T)\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}(T,T^*;(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{1-\theta}{1+\theta}}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}(T,T^*;(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{\theta-1}{1+\theta}}_{p,1})}\\&+\|e^{(t-T)\Delta}\delta(T)\|_{\widetilde{L}^1(T,T^*;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}+\|e^{(t-T)\Delta}\delta(T)\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}(T,T^*;(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}(T,T^*;(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2\theta}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})}\\\le&\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^1(T,T^*;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}+\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}(T,T^*;(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{1-\theta}{1+\theta}}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}(T,T^*;(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{\theta-1}{1+\theta}}_{p,1})}+\|\mathcal{A}(u,\delta)\|_{\widetilde{L}^1(T,T^*;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})}\\&+\|\delta\|_{\widetilde{L}^1(T,T^*;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}+\|\delta\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}(T,T^*;(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}(T,T^*;(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2\theta}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})}+\|\mathcal{B}(u,\delta)\|_{\widetilde{L}^1(T,T^*;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\\\le&\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^1(T,T^*;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}+\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}(T,T^*;(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{1-\theta}{1+\theta}}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}(T,T^*;(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{\theta-1}{1+\theta}}_{p,1})}+\|\delta\|_{\widetilde{L}^1(T,T^*;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\\&+\|\delta\|_{\widetilde{L}^{1+\theta}(T,T^*;(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^{\frac{1+\theta}{\theta}}(T,T^*;(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2\theta}{1+\theta}}_{q,1})}+C\|\delta\|^2_{\widetilde{L}^\infty(T,T^*;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\|\delta\|_{\widetilde{L}^1(T,T^*;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\\&+C\left(\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty(T,T^*;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})}+\|\delta\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty(T,T^*;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})}\right)\left(\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^1(T,T^*;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})}+\|\delta\|_{\widetilde{L}^1(T,T^*;e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\right)\\\le&\frac{\zeta}{2},\end{aligned}\tag{3.31}$$

if T is sufficiently close to T^*. (3.31) is analogous to (3.25) and (3.26), which implies that the solution exists on $[T,T^*]$. A contradiction with T^* is maximal. Thus we obtain (1.4). Moreover, if $M_0\ll1$, by using Lemma 3.1, we can directly choose $T=\infty$ in (3.21) and (3.30).

Step 3: **uniqueness**

Let (u^1,δ^1) , (u^2,δ^2) be two solutions of (3.17). Denote $\widetilde{u}=u^1-u^2$, $\widetilde{\delta}=\delta^1-\delta^2$. By using the similar procedure of $\{(\overline{u}_n,\overline{\delta}_n),n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence on small interval $[0,b]$ (some small constant $b>0$), we can prove $(\widetilde{u},\widetilde{\delta})=(0,0)$ on $[0,b]$. By repeating the procedure, we can obtain $(\widetilde{u},\widetilde{\delta})=(0,0)$ on $[0,T^*)$. □

4 Proof of Corollary 1.4

In this section, we shall give the proof of Corollary 1.4. Let us first introduction the following lemma on the operator $\Lambda^m e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}$.

Lemma 4.1 *For all $m \geq 0$, the operator $\Lambda^m e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}$ is a convolution operator with a kernel $k_m(t,\cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $t > 0$. Moreover, we have*

$$\|k_m(t,\cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq C_m t^{-\frac{m}{2}}.$$

Proof. The proof of the Lemma is essentially due to Lemarié-Rieusset [20], for readers convenience, we give it as follows. By using the scaling property, it sufficient to prove

$$\|k_m(1,\cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq C_m.$$

We have $\widehat{k}_m(1,\xi) = |\xi|^m e^{-|\xi|_1} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, thus $k_m(1,\cdot)$ is a continuous bounded function. Moreover, if $m > 0$, we introduce a function $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ so that $0 \notin \operatorname{Supp}\omega$ and $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \omega(2^j\xi) = 1$. Then $|\xi|^m\omega(\xi) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and if we write $|\xi|^m\omega(\xi) = \widehat{\Omega}_m(\xi)$ and $\theta = 1 - \sum_{j\geq 0}\omega(2^j\xi)$, we have $\widehat{k}_m(1,\xi) = \sum_{j\geq 0} 2^{-jm}\widehat{\Omega}_m(2^j\xi)e^{-|\xi|_1} + \theta(\xi)|\xi|^m e^{-|\xi|_1}$, hence

$$\|k_m(1,\cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \sum_{j\geq 0} 2^{-jm}\|\Omega_m\|_{L^1}\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-|\xi|_1})\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\theta(\xi)|\xi|^m e^{-|\xi|_1})\|_{L^1} < \infty.$$

Thus we complete the proof of Lemma 4.1. □

By using Lemma 4.1 and the result of Theorem 1.2, we now turn to proof of Corollary 1.4.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Since Theorem 1.2 tell us that if the initial data $(u_0, d_0 - \overline{d}_0)$ belongs to $\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $1 < p, q < \infty$ satisfying (1.3), then the solution $(u, d - \overline{d}_0)$ is in the Gevrey class $\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap \widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \times \widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap \widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Consequently, for all $m \geq 0$, applying Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Lambda_1^m u\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})} + \|\Lambda_1^m(d-\overline{d}_0)\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\\
\lesssim&\|\Lambda_1^m e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}u\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})} + \|\Lambda_1^m e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}(d-\overline{d}_0)\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\\
\lesssim&t^{-\frac{m}{2}}\left(\|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}u\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})} + \|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}(d-\overline{d}_0)\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\right)\\
\lesssim&t^{-\frac{m}{2}}\left(\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}_{p,1})} + \|(d-\overline{d}_0)\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1})\cap\widetilde{L}^1_{T^*}(e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_1}\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+2}_{q,1})}\right)\\
\lesssim&t^{-\frac{m}{2}}\big(\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}} + \|d_0-\overline{d}_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 1.4. □

Acknowledgments

The author would glad to acknowledge his sincere thanks to Professor Song Jiang for many valuable comments and suggestions.

References

[1] H. Bae, A. Biswas, E. Tadmor, Analyticity and decay estimates of the Navier–Stokes equations in critical Besov spaces, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 205 (2012), 963–991.

[2] H. Bahouri, J. Chemin and R. Danchin, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York, 2011.

[3] A. Biswas, Gevrey regularity for the supercritical quasi-geostrophic equation, J. Differential Equations, 257 (2014), 1753–1772.

[4] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn and L. Nireberg, Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of Navier–Stokes equations, Commun. Partial Differential Equations, 35 (1982), 771–831.

[5] M. Cannone, A generalization of a theorem by Kato on Navier–Stokes equations, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 13 (1997), 515–541.

[6] Y. Du and K. Wang, Space-time regularity of the Koch&Tataru solutions to the liquid crystal equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 45(6) (2013), 3838–3853.

[7] J. Ericksen, Hydrostatic theory of liquid crystal, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 9 (1962), 371–378.

[8] C. Foias and R. Temam, Gevrey class regularity for the solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations, J. Funct. Anal., 87 (1989), 359–369.

[9] P. Germain, N. Pavlovic and G. Staffilani, Regularity of solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations evolving from small data in BMO^{-1}, Int. Math, Res. Not., 21 (2007), 35 pages.

[10] Y. Giga and O. Sawada, On regularizing-decay rate estimates for solutions to the Navier–Stokes initial value problem, Nonlinear Anal. Appl., 1(2) (2003), 549–562.

[11] Y. Hao and X. Liu, The existence and blow-up criterion of liquid crystals system in critical Besov space, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 13(1) (2014), 225–236.

[12] J. Hineman and C. Wang, Well–posedness of nematic liquid crystal flow in $L^3_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 210 (2013), 177–218.

[13] M. Hong, Global existence of solutions of the simplified Ericksen–Leslie system in dimension two, Cal. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 40 (2011), 15–36.

[14] X. Hu and D. Wang, Global solution to the three-dimensional incompressible flow of liquid crystals, Commun. Math. Phys., 296 (2010), 861–880.

[15] C. Huang and B. Wang, Analyticity for the (generalized) Navier-Stokes equations with rough initail data, arXiv.13102.2141v2 [math.AP] 31 Oct. 2013.

[16] T. Huang and C. Wang, Blow up criterion for nematic liquid crystal flows, Commun. Partial Differential Equations, 37 (2012), 875–884.

[17] T. Kato, Strong L^p solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations in Rm with applications to weak solutions, Math. Z., 187 (1984), 471–480.

[18] H. Koch and D. Tataru, Well-posedness for the Navier–Stokes equations, Adv. Math., 157 (2001), 22–35.

[19] F. Leslie, Theory of flow phenomenum in liquid crystals. In: The theory of liquid crystals, London-New York: Academic Press, 4 (1979), 1–81.

[20] P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset, *Recent Developments in the Navier–Stokes Problem*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2002.

[21] X. Li and D. Wang, Global solution to the incompressible flow of liquid crystal, J. Differential Equations, 252 (2012), 745–767.

[22] F. Lin, Nonlinear theory of defects in nematic liquid crystals; phase transition and flow phenomena, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 42 (1989), 789–814.

[23] F. Lin, J. Lin and C. Wang, Liquid crystal flow in two dimensions, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 197 (2010), 297–336

[24] F. Lin and C. Liu, Nonparabolic dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 48 (1995), 501–537.

[25] F. Lin and C. Liu, Partial regularities of the nonlinear dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., A 2 (1996), 1–23.

[26] F. Lin and C. Wang, On the uniqueness of heat flow of harmonic maps and hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals, Chinese Annal. Math. Ser., B 31 (2010), 921–938.

[27] F. Lin and C. Wang, Global existence of weak solutions of the nematic liquid crystal flow in dimension three, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., (2015), Doi:10.1002/cpa/21583.

[28] Q. Liu, Space-time derivative estimates of the Koch-Tataru solutios to the nematic liquid crystal system in Besov spaces, J. Differential Equations, 258 (2015), 4368–4397.

[29] Q. Liu, T. Zhang and J. Zhao, Well-poseness for the 3D incompressible nematic nematic liquid crystal system in the critical L^p framework, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 36 (2016), 371–402.

[30] H. Miura and O. Sawada, On the regularizing rate estimates of Koch-Tataru's solution to the Navier–Stokes equations, Asymptot. Anal., 49 (2006), 1–15.

[31] I. Stewart, *The static and dynamic continuum theory of liquid crystals*, Taylor & Francis, London, New York, 2004.

[32] C. Wang, Well-posedness for the heat flow of harmonic maps and the liquid crystal flow with rough initial data, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 200 (2011), 1–19.

[33] H. Wen and S. Ding, Solutions of incompressible hydrodynamic flow of liquid crystals, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 12 (2011), 1510–1531.

[34] X. Xu and Z. Zhang, Global regularity and uniqueness of weak solution for the 2-D liquid crystal flows, J. Differential Equations, 252 (2012), 1169–1181.